



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/699,624      | 10/30/2000  | Michael Goldstein    | 12808.7USI1         | 7054             |

23552            7590            02/05/2003  
MERCHANT & GOULD PC  
P.O. BOX 2903  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

AN, SHAWN S

[REDACTED] ART UNIT      [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

2613

DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003

12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

GD

**Interview Summary**

|                                      |   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Application No.<br><b>09/699,624</b> | Applicant(s)<br><b>Michael Goldstein et al.</b> |
| Examiner<br><b>Shawn An</b>          | Art Unit<br><b>2613</b>                         |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shawn An

(3) Eliav Korakh

(2) Gregory Sebald

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jan 30, 2003

Type: a) Telephonic      b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes      e) No. If yes, brief description:

\_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 20

Identification of prior art discussed:

Street (6,075,555) and Watanabe (5,812,187).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The proposed amendment further clarifying the controlling process of the multi-wavelength sensor array is under consideration. The Examiner will review the above references more carefully to decide the novelty of the invention as further described in the proposed amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

*CJ*  
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required